

Appln. No.: 10/664,542

Amendment Dated: November 15, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated August 22, 2007

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 3 and 24 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC § 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 has been amended to overcome the rejection under 35 USC § 112.

Please cancel claims 22, 24, and 25.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 5, 8, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the based claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been amended to include all of the limitations of claims 4 and 5.

Claim 19 has been amended to include all of the limitations of claims 20 and 21.

New claim 26 includes all of the limitations of claims 1, 7 and 8.

In view of the above, claims 1-3, 6-19 and new claim 26 are patentable. If the Examiner has any questions would he please call the undersigned at the telephone number noted below.

Please charge any additional fees that may be required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 16-1885.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ronald Reichman/
Ronald Reichman
Reg. No. 26,796
Attorney of Record
Telephone (203) 924-3854

PITNEY BOWES INC.
Intellectual Property and
Technology Law Department
35 Waterview Drive
P.O. Box 3000
Shelton, CT 06484-8000